

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,041	07/17/2003	Andreas Schleth	442-192 2260	
7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER		
Charles R. Hoffmann, Esq. HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			PICKARD, ALISON K	
6900 Jericho Turnpike Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/622,041	SCHLETH ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Alison K. Pickard	3676		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
		action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 8-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 8-18 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)		
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ite atent Application (PTO-152)		
S Patent and To	a descent Office				

Application/Control Number: 10/622,041

Art Unit: 3676

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woodman (4,616,857).

Woodman discloses a sealing ring comprising a sealing body 81 disposed in an annular groove 83 in an annular body 61 (and another on opposite end near 67). The sealing body has a bead 89 projecting axially past the body 61. An annular inner free space (adjacent portion 91) is radially within the sealing bead. An annular outer free space 93 is radially outside the bead. As seen best in Figure 3, the inner space has a larger cross-section and depth than the outer space. As seen best in Figure 2, the groove is at least equal to the volume of the sealing body and the free spaces accept material when the sealing body is deformed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 9, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woodman.

Y

Application/Control Number: 10/622,041

Art Unit: 3676

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Woodman does not disclose the groove has a width greater at the opening than at the floor. Woodman does not disclose the inner space is wider at the opening than the outer space. These are considered design choices. See In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). For example, making the groove wider at the opening would make installation easier and provide more space to receive the material upon compression.

Regarding claims 12-15, Woodman does not disclose the materials required by the claims. Using these materials is considered a design choice. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is not considered inventive. See In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Regarding claim 16, Woodman discloses a continuous retaining projection 81d at an inner periphery that extends radially inward. However, Woodman does not disclose plural projections (i.e. not continuous). Making the projection a plurality of projections instead of one integral projection is considered a design choice. See In re Harza, 124 USPO 378 (CCPA 1960).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sealing ring of Woodman with a wider groove, recess, claimed materials, and plural projections as matters of choice in design.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6-17-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and are moot in view of the new grounds for rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,041

Art Unit: 3676

2,041 Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

AP